A Policy Committee, an Agenda Review, and an Executive Session of the Maricopa County Community College District Governing Board were scheduled to be held beginning at 4:00 p.m. at the District Support Services Center, 2411 West 14th Street, Tempe, Arizona, pursuant to ARS §38-431.07, notice having been duly given.
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Policy Committee Call To Order

The Policy Committee was called to order at 4:01 p.m. by Board Member Dana Saar.

Objective 1: Board Outcome Policy 1.4

Objective 1. Review and Discuss Board Outcome Policy 1.4

Mr. Saar commented that the objective this evening was to continue discussing Governing Board Outcome Policy 1.4 pertaining to Community Development and Civic and Global Engagement. Included with the agenda was a two-sided handout describing the outcomes and the strategic focus. Mr. Saar requested that Executive Vice Chancellor Dr. Paul Dale explain the outcomes and the handout.

Dr. Dale commented that the drafted handout was intended to start a focused conversation on this policy dealing with Civic and Global Engagement and contained duplicate outcomes. Side one included social justice initiatives to enhance students’ knowledge to be able to address local social issues, enhancing students’ knowledge of democratic values to understand civic, community-based issues, and lastly, increasing students’ capability to succeed in a globalized workforce since many of our certificate completers or graduates will be working for companies with international interests. The second side of the handout included these same outcomes in
Objective 2. Discussion on Proposed Amendment to the Chancellor Limitations Policies

Dr. Thor commented that changes had been recommended at the last Policy Committee in March and although the changes were reflected in the minutes, they were not reflected on the agenda. These are the changes that were recommended:

2.1 Treatment of Students
Existing: With respect to treatment of students, or those applying to enroll as students, the Chancellor shall not cause or allow conditions that are unfair, undignified, unsafe, untimely, or unnecessarily intrusive.

AMENDED October 22, 2013, Motion No. 10112
AMENDED February 22, 2011, Motion No. 9781, 9782
Objective 3. Discussion on Chancellor Evaluation Process

3.4 Monitoring the Chancellor’s Performance

Existing: The Board will have a formal evaluation of the Chancellor in May of each year. The evaluation will be based primarily on a summary/review of the Board's judgment of the Chancellor's performance, per the criteria and process outlined above, during the previous twelve months.

Proposed: The Board will have a formal evaluation of the Chancellor in May of each year. The evaluation will be based primarily on a summary/review of the Board's judgment of the Chancellor's performance, per the criteria and process outlined above, during the previous twelve months. In addition, in
consultation with the Chancellor, the Board may utilize an instrument that evaluates the Chancellor.

**ADJOURNMENT OF POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING**

The wording shown above was agreed to by board members and this will move forward for second reading. The Policy Committee Meeting adjourned at 4:58 p.m.

**Agenda Review**

President Gutierrez called to order the Agenda Review at 5:08 p.m.

Items Discussed in Detail:

*12.3 Approve Virginia G. Piper Charitable Trust Grant to Expand the Achieving a College Education (ACE) Programs*

This item was pulled by the Chancellor to be revised to show that the grant was being awarded by the Maricopa Foundation due to the fact that the grant was initially given to the Foundation.

*12.8 Approve $3 Million Grant Award from U. S. Department of Commerce, EDA for Renovation of Building and Equipment to Outfit the Maricopa Makerspace*

This item was pulled from Consent and moved to Non-Consent.

12.7 Approve Curriculum-Academic Degrees and Policies

This item has had changes as noted below in second bullet. A white paper is being developed by Curriculum to explain the change(s):

**1. 2017 - 2018 Arizona General Education Curriculum (AGEC) A, B, S version**

Proposed Changes:

- Processed courses and/or general education designation action from March 2016 through February 2017 affecting the AGEC A, B, S requirements: See attached chart of course changes. Language throughout document edited for readability and clarification (Karen Conzelman).
- **Transfer Credit**: Language edited to align with the Chancellor’s direct approval on January 4, 2017 to modify AR 2.2.4 (Credit for Prior Learning, Section 3, Articulated Transfer Credit) to allow Maricopa colleges to evaluate coursework and intake transfer credit from any institution included in the current Directory of Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA)-Recognized Organizations, which is published by the American Council on Education (ACE), and/or the U.S. Department of Education's Database of Accredited Programs and Institutions.
- AGEC-S Subject Options: CSC (Computer Science), ECE (Engineering Core), and EEE (Electrical Engineering) prefixes added to existing options (Karen Conzelman).

15.5 Approve $3 Million Grant Award from U.S. Department of Commerce, EDA for Renovation of Building and Equipment to Outfit the Maricopa Makerspace

- Concern about strings attached to this grant and whether it is viable/feasible or not. Step one okay but not with putting in District resources. Why not identify five or ten sponsors and get commitment for a certain number of years. Other local facilities have been fairly successful but parking is an issue at this one. Would
like more information such as a detailed prospectus with projected expenses.
- Because of experience with Hope Academy and not doing extensive research, Mr. Gutierrez endorses Mr. Saar’s caution and wants to proceed in a cautious way.
- Need to go back to basics in spending.
- Would like to know if we can accept the grant but not spend it right away.
- Dr. Gonzales commented that this is a dollar for dollar match and we have two years to investigate. We will not approach in a reckless manner.
- Congratulations to GWC for this alternative funding source.
- Need business plan and concerned about parking issues.

14.2 Review Proposed Changes – Governing Board Policy 3.4 Monitoring the Chancellor’s Performance

Dr. Thor explained that an evaluation document would be utilized for the Chancellor performance evaluation and this document was given to and reviewed by the Chancellor. Because the original recommendation read: "The proposed language and structure of the policy reflects the additional instrument that evaluates the Chancellor’s relationships, leadership, management, and personal qualities. Some board members took issue the term "personal qualities" and how it could be measured, and therefore the language was changed to read: “In addition, the Board will utilize an instrument, accepted by the Chancellor, that evaluates the Chancellor.”"

15.2 Approve Job Order Contracting Purchase Order to Renovate and Refurbish the Arts and Communications Building (AC30) at Mesa Community College

Mrs. McGrath asked how many bids had been submitted and it was explained on jobs under $1 million contractors are selected from a list of contractors that have submitted proposals and been approved for smaller projects. This list is referred to as JOC (Job Order Contracting). Although the total project budget for this renovation is $1,318,842, the work has involved the use of different contractors thus the dollar limit policy was not violated.

15.3 Approve the Proposed Fiscal Year (FY) 2017-18 Budget

The proposed budget was presented along with different scenarios (Options A, B, C, D, and E) which propose No Tax Increase, 0.5% Tax Increase, 1% Tax Increase, 2% Tax Increase, and Primary Tax Rate = Last Year Primary Tax Rate. A matrix was provided showing these and the resulting dollar amounts.
- Mrs. Livingston asked what had been done to cut, to grow, and save.
- It was explained that four board members had run for the Board and promised no tax increases.
Adjournment Of Agenda Review

Agenda Review adjourned at 7:05 p.m. Board Members entered into Executive Session following a short break.

____________________

Dr. Linda Thor
Governing Board Secretary